ENVIRONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF CCS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION IN THE CEMENT PROCESS CHAIN

Nela SLAVU^{1,2}, Cristian DINCA¹, Roxana PATRASCU¹, Energy Generation and Use Department, University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania Academy of Romanian Scientists, Bucharest, Romania

Abstract: The cement industry is one of the major sources of CO_2 emissions. The CO_2 emissions from manufacturing cement process are provided from the calcination of the raw materials, the fuel used in the rotary kiln and the electricity used in the process. The CO_2 emissions from calcination process cannot be avoided, a way to reduce them is the integration of CO_2 capture technology. In this study, we analyzed the integration of the chemical absorption process using monoethanolamine. Since the temperature of the exhaust gases from cement plant is higher than 350 °C, a HRSG (Heat Recovery Steam Generator) was used for electricity generation. Also, the steam at the outlet of the back pressure steam turbine was used for solvent regeneration. In the cases studied, considering a constant value of the CO_2 capture process efficiency, the MEA weight concentration varied in the range 15-35 %, while the CO_2 lean loading solvent varied in the range 72-165 %, which means that in some cases studied the thermal energy available does not cover the heat duty required. However, for higher mass concentrations of MEA and higher CO_2 lean loading solvent, the heat duty required for desorption process is achieved.

Keywords: cement plant, CO₂ capture, chemical absorption process, MEA

1 INTRODUCTION

The cement industry is characterized by a high energy consumption and therefore it is an important source of CO_2 emissions which contribute to GHG emissions rising associated with the anthropic activities. The cement production increased constantly over time, reaching in 2013 of 2840 million tons of cement produced [1]. The CO_2 emissions of the cement production are generated by two sources: fossil fuels combustion and raw materials calcination (limestone). For one tone of cement produced, the amount of CO_2 emitted is in the range of 600 - 1000 kg_{CO2}[1-2]. The cement industry contributes to global CO_2 emissions generated with approximately 5 % [2]. In the worldwide context focused on GHG emissions reduction until 2020, more solutions need to be analyzed for integration in the cement industry. One of the recognized solution for reducing the carbon dioxide emissions consists in the CCS technologies integration. Over the years, due to the progress in the cement industry, the CO_2 emissions per ton of cement produced was reduced either by improving the energy efficiency or by using additives for increasing the amount of clinker [3]. However, for further reduction of CO_2 emissions due to the limitation of the existing solutions mentioned above, other techniques need to be integrated in the cement process.

The cement plants are relatively large point sources of CO_2 due to the CO_2 molar concentration in the flue gases which is higher comparing to fossil fuel power plants (14-33% against 5-15%) [1]. The CO_2 emissions produced by a cement plant are provided by 60 % from the clinker production, 30 % from fuel combustion used in kiln, and 10 % from other operations [4]. Thus, by replacing the fossil fuels with renewable energy sources, the CO_2 emissions cannot be entirely avoided. Taking into account the Gibbs free energy, it will be more feasible to separate the molar CO_2 emissions from a cement plant comparing to a power plant [5-6]. Today, there are several technologies for capturing carbon dioxide from cement plants: starting with oxy-fuel combustion, or post-combustion like chemical absorption process and calcium looping combustion [4-6], see Figure 1. In either post-combustion cases, the chemical absorption or CaO looping combustion processes integration in a cement plant has the advantage that no major changes are required [7]. The main drawback of the chemical absorption process consists in a higher amount of heat required for solvent regeneration [8]. Generally, the heat is provided by the steam extracted from the low pressure steam turbine (in the energy process case) or by the heat recovery from the exhaust flue gases but, in the lase case, the amount of heat recovered is not enough for a complete regeneration (to reach 0.2 molCO₂/mol_solvent). Thus, taking into account the exhaust gases flow and its temperature, a HRSG (heat recovery steam generator) unit could be used for steam generation [9]. In the HRSG unit case used, a part of the total steam flow at 3.6 bar could be extracted for chemical solvent regeneration.

The aim of this paper consists in the energetically assessment of the CO_2 capture post-combustion technology by chemical absorption process integration in a modern cement factory. In the whole process, the heat of the exhaust gasses is recovered in different steps in order to optimize it.



Figure 1: CO₂ capture technologies for cement industry [4]

2 METHOD

2.1. Cement manufacturing process description

The manufacturing cement process consists in three principal stages (Figure 2): the raw materials preparation, clinker production and cement preparation. In the first stage, the raw materials used are crushed, grinded and blended forming a raw feed. The principal raw material used to produce clinker is limestone (CaCO₃). For producing 1 kg of clinker, 1.5-1.6 kg raw feed dry is required [2], due to the chemical reactions that take place in the kiln (calcination of limestone). In the clinker production stage, the raw feed is introduced in kiln for a gradually heated up to approximately 1500 °C. The final stage consists of the grinding clinker with additives (gypsum, blast furnace slag, fly ash) and forming the final product. The clinker factor represents the ratio between the amount of the clinker produced and the amount of the additives introduced in the process. The clinker factor varies between the 0.5-0.95 [2]. For lower value of the clinker factor, the amount of the carbon dioxide produced is smaller, but the cement produced has a poor quality.



Figure 2. Simplified scheme of manufacturing cement process [1]

The processes applied in the cement production are divided in four categories: wet process, semi-wet process, semi-dry process and dry process. These processes are differentiated by the moisture content of the raw feed that enters the kiln. The dry process is the most efficient process from the fuel consumption point of view, due to the heat recovery in the preheater and pre-calciner unit. In the dry process the moisture content of the raw materials is reduced up to <1 % [1-2]. In the pre-calciner, the temperature reach up to 900 °C and approximately 80-90 % of the limestone calcination takes place here [2-3]. In the pre-calciner chamber approximately 60 % of the fuel is used [2].

The calcination process (limestone conversion) is the main carbon dioxide emission generator due to the chemical reaction that takes place [5]:

$$CaCO_3 \to CaO + CO_2 \tag{1}$$

The amount of CO_2 generated by the cement production is influenced by the following parameters: type of fuel used (coal, petcoke, natural gas, heavy fuel oil etc.), type of the process applied and its efficiency, and the clinker factor. Another source of the CO_2 emissions is from electricity consumption. The grinding of raw materials and of clinker takes place in the stages with the largest electricity consumption. The electricity consumption reported by the IEA is of 90-120 kWh/t_{cement} [1].

In this study, we considered a dry process with rotary kiln, five stage preheater, pre-calciner and grate cooler. The fuel used was petcoke 100 % with a low heating value (LHV) of 33.5 MJ/kg. The main parameters of the cement manufacturing process are presented in Table 1, as well as the mass balance for producing of 1 kg of cement.

 Table 1. Main design of cement process [2]

Parameters								
Type of process	Dry	Dry						
Fuel	Pet coke 10	Pet coke 100 %						
Low heating value of fuel	33.5 MJ/kg							
Clinker factor	0.8							
Raw materials factor	1.52 kg/kg	1.52 kg/kg _{clinker}						
Moisture	5 %	5 %						
Air moisture	1 %	1 %						
Heat consumption	3.3 MJ/kg	3.3 MJ/kg						
Mass balance								
Inputs								
Raw materials	1214 g	1214 g						
Petcoke	79 g	79 g						
Air	2605 g							
H ₂ O	71 g	71 g						
Additives	200 g	200 g						
Outputs								
Clinker	800	800						
Cement	1000	1000						
Emissions	CO_2	O ₂	N ₂	H ₂ O				
	672 g	389 g	1975 g	132 g				

The total amount of CO₂ generated to produce a 1 kg of cement is 672 g of which 421 g (63 %) comes from calcination of the raw materials and 251 g (37 %) from the combustion fuel. The CO₂ factor for production a 1 kg of clinker is of 0.84 kg_{CO2}/kg_{clincher}. The CO₂ emissions factor of fuel used for produced electricity is of 1140.7 kg_{CO2}/MWh.

2.2. Chemical absorption process integration into cement plant

As it is known, the CO₂ capture by chemical absorption process using amine is considered the most mature technology. The chemical absorption process consists in passing the gases through an absorption column. The absorption process takes place at temperature of ~ 50 °C [10]. The exhaust gases after the preheater unit have a temperature between 350-450 °C which allows producing steam in a HRSG unit [9, 11, 12]. In this study, we considered the temperature of exhaust gases of 400 °C as the average of the limits found in the scientific literature. Prior to the exhaust gases entering in the absorption column, a HRSG recovers the heat of the exhaust gases in order to reduce its temperature to around 50 °C. The rich chemical solvent in CO₂ comes out at the bottom of the absorber column and the clean exhaust gases exits at the top of the column. The desorption process takes place at a temperature of 120 °C [13]. Before the regeneration stage, the heat of the lean chemical solvent is transferred to the reach chemical solvent into a heat exchanger. After increasing its temperature, the lean chemical solvent in CO₂ is evacuated at the bottom of the desorption column for recirculated to absorption column. Due to the chemical solvent degradation in the absorption process, a fresh amount of chemical solvent is added for maintaining the mass concentration imposed in the absorption process [14]. The CO₂ separated leaves the desorption column at the top being compressed and transported to the storage site. In figure 3, the diagram of the chemical absorption process is presented.

In figure 4, the manufacturing cement process with CO_2 capture technology is presented. It can be seen that the heat is recovered for maximizing the process efficiency. The parameters of the steam extracted for solvent regeneration are: pressure is 3.6 bar and temperature is about 140 °C. The chemical solvent used in this study was monoethanolamine (MEA) in different concentration. The simulation of chemical absorption process was performed in ChemCAD, version 6.0.1. The thermodynamic package used in simulations was Amine packed. The main data of the simulated process is summarized in the Table 2. The CO_2 capture efficiency was calculated after the following equation:

$$\eta_{CO_2} = \frac{CO_2^{in} - CO_2^{out}}{CO_2^{in}} \cdot 100 \,[\%]$$
⁽²⁾

where: η_{CO_2} is the CO₂ capture efficiency, in %, CO_2^{in} is the amount of CO₂ from exhaust gases prior to chemical absorption process, CO_2^{out} is the amount of CO₂ from clean gases after chemical absorption process, in mol CO₂.



Figure 3. Chemical absorption process [15]



Figure 4. Cement plant with CO₂ capture [6]

Table 2. Main data of chemical absorption process

Parameters	Value					
Cement flow, [kg/h]	100					
Exhaust gases flow, [kg _{fg} /h]	316.8					
Exhaust asses composition [ut 0/]	CO_2	O ₂	N_2	H ₂ O		
Exhaust gases composition, [wt. %]	21.21	12.28	62.34	4.17		
Temperature exhaust gases, [°C]	400					
Temperature of chemical absorption process, [°C]	50					
Pressure of chemical absorption process, [bar]	1.013					
Temperature of desorption process, [°C]	120					
Pressure of desorption process, [bar]	2					
Steam temperature for solvent regeneration, [°C]	140					
Steam pressure for solvent regeneration, [bar]	3.6					
Number of stages absorption column	10					
Number of stages desorption column	10					
MEA weight concentration, [%]	15-35					
CO ₂ lean loading solvent, [mol _{CO2} /mol _{MEA}]	0.17-0.25					
CO ₂ capture efficiency, [%]	90					

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we analyzed the integration of chemical absorption process into a cement plant with heat recovery for steam generation used in the chemical solvent regeneration process. The CO₂ efficiency was maintained constant of 90 %. Due to the corrosiveness of the chemical solvent, the MEA weight concentration was considered of 35 %, 30 %, 25 %, 20 % and 15 %. The CO₂ lean loading solvent was varied between 0.17-0.25 mol_{CO2}/mol_{MEA}. In Figure 5, the variation of the ratio between the chemical solvent and exhaust gases (L/G) was represented. The L/G ratio varies with the weight concentrations of MEA in the chemical solvent considering the same CO₂ capture process efficiency. For lower concentrations of MEA, the L/G ratio is higher to maintain the same CO₂ capture efficiency. The higher value of L/G ratio obtained was of 3.11 kg_{sol}/kg_{gas} for the MEA concentration of 15 % and the lower value was of 1.43 kg_{sol}/kg_{gas} for MEA of 35 %.



Figure 5. L/G ratio according to MEA concentration

The heat duty for capturing one ton of carbon dioxide is represented in Figure 6 according to the CO_2 lean loading solvent and MEA concentration. The heat duty for solvent regeneration decreases with increases of the CO_2 lean loading solvent for maintaining at a lower value of the CO_2 partial pressure in the gaseous phase. With increasing of the weight concentration of MEA, the values obtained for heat duty was lower. Because at the lower concentration of MEA the L/G ratio is higher, and a higher flow is passed through the desorption column. In this study, the stages number of absorption and desorption column was maintained constant. The values obtained for heat duty varied between 1.41-3.19 GJ/t_{CO2} according to the solvent flow taking into account different values of lean loading solvent. For a specific value of lean loading solvent (0.21 mol_{CO2}/mol_{MEA}), the heat duty is reduced due to a higher amount of amine solvent and a higher amount of CO_2 emissions captured (as we can see in Figure 7).



Figure 6. Heat duty according to CO₂ lean loading solvent and MEA concentration

In figure 8, the variation of the heat duty required for chemical absorption process for one ton of cement according to the CO_2 lean loading solvent is represented. The specific heat duty varied between 0.85-1.93 GJ/t_{cement} according to the CO_2 lean loading solvent and MEA concentration.

For all cases studied the thermal energy recovered from the exhaust gases was of 117.15 MJ/h. The exhaust gases from cement plant with a temperature of 400 °C was introduced in the HRSG for steam generation at 25 bars. The steam produced expands in back pressure steam turbine from 25 bars to 3.6 bar being then used for chemical solvent

regeneration. After the HRSG, the exhaust gases are cooled in heat exchanger up to 50 °C before entering the absorption column. The thermal energy amount required for solvent regeneration varied according the lean loading solvent. A higher value of the lean loading solvent allowed a small amount of heat duty.



Figure 7. Heat duty and solvent flow variation according to MEA concentration



Figure 8. Specific heat duty according to CO₂ lean loading solvent and MEA concentration

Taking into account that the amount of the thermal energy available depends on the flue gas temperature, a critical assessment was done. The scope of the assessment consisted in the evaluation of the thermal energy amount available comparing to the heat duty required for solvent regeneration. The thermal energy available depends on the steam flow and on its temperature and pressure parameters. For all cases, the steam was extracted at the outlet of the back pressure steam turbine, in order to avoid the eventually additional costs. Thus, in Figures 9-13, the amount of thermal energy available, and effectively used for chemical solvent regeneration are comparing on the graphs. For lower values of the lean loading, the heat duty required for solvent regeneration was higher than the thermal energy available. In this case, an additional heat source is necessary for reducing the lean loading value. On the contrary, for higher values of the lean loading solvent, the thermal energy available exceeds the heat duty required. In this case, the additional heat could be used either for increasing the electricity by reducing the pressure of the steam extracted or for own consumption.



Thermal energy produced Thermal energy required Thermal energy additional 160 MEA 30 wt. % 140 120 energy, [MJ/h] 100 80 60 40 Thermal 20 0 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.23 0.25 -20 -40 CO_2 lean loading solvent, $[mol_{CO2}/mol_{MEA}]$

Figure 9. Thermal energy for MEA 35 wt. % according to CO_2 lean loading solvent

Figure 10. Thermal energy for MEA 30 wt. % according to CO₂ lean loading solvent



Figure 11. Thermal energy for MEA 25 wt. % according to CO₂ lean loading solvent





Figure 13. Thermal energy for MEA 15 wt. % according to CO2 lean loading solvent

For evaluating the cases analyzed, the heat usage factor was defined. The heat usage factor was defined as the ratio between the heat duty used for chemical solvent regeneration and the thermal energy available. According to this factor, all the cases were compared for different MEA weight concentration and CO_2 lean loading. In the cases in which an external heat source is required for achieving the chemical solvent regeneration, the heat usage factor was higher than 100 % (Figure 14). As we can observe in Figure 14, a higher value of the CO_2 lean loading solvent allows to have an amount of heat available for other processes.



Figure 14. Heat usage factor according to CO2 lean loading solvent and MEA concentration

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we analyzed the integration of CO_2 capture technology by chemical absorption process into a cement plant with heat recovery facility. The high mass concentration of CO_2 in the exhaust gases from manufacturing cement process has a significant impact on the parameters of the chemical absorption process such as the the L/G ratio and the heat duty required for solvent regeneration. The steam for solvent regeneration was produced in a HRSG due to the higher temperature of the exhaust gases from cement plant. The heat duty required for solvent regeneration was analyzed according to the weight concentration of MEA and to the CO_2 lean loading solvent considering a constant CO_2 capture efficiency of 90 %. We noticed that the heat duty required increases with CO_2 lean loading solvent decreases for different MEA weight concentration. The thermal energy produced by steam boiler is the same in all cases studied since the exhaust gases flow is constant. Thus, the heat usage factor varied with the CO_2 lean loading solvent and the MEA weight concentration. However, for values of CO_2 lean loading solvent higher than $0.2 \text{ mol}_{CO2}/\text{mol}_{MEA}$ and MEA concentrations higher than 30 wt. %, the thermal energy produced covers entirely the heat duty required for solvent regeneration. For choosing the optimal parameters of chemical absorption process, an economic analysis is necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study has been funded by the UEFISCDI within the National Projects: number 51/2017 with the title: "Optimization and validation of the CO₂ capture demonstrative pilot installation by chemical absorption technology" – CHEMCAP and 95 BM/2017 with the title: "Parametric study for the optimization of the chemical absorption CO₂ capture technology used in energy and industrial sectors".

REFERENCES

[1] International Energy Agency (IEAGHG): CO₂ capture in the cement industry, Techical Study. Report Number 2008/3. Date: July 2008, *Available from* http://ieaghg.org/docs/General_Docs/Reports/2008-3.pdf, *Accessed: 02.02.2017*.

[2] European Commission: Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide Manufacturing Industries, May 2010, *Available from* http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu., *Accessed: 10.02.2017*.

[3] Moya, J.A.; Pardo, N. & Mercier, A.: *Energy Efficiency and CO₂ Emissions: Prospective Scenarios for the Cement Industry*, Publications Office of the European Union, ISBN 978-92-79-17644-9, Luxembourg, 2010.

[4] Li, J. et al.: Technological, economic and financial prospects of carbon dioxide capture in the cement industry, *Energy Policy*, Vol. 61 (2013), pp. 1377-1387, ISSN: 0301-4215.

[5] Mathisen, A.; Skinnemoen, M. M., & Nord, L. O: Evaluating CO₂ capture technologies for retrofit in cement plant, *Energy Procedia*, Vol. 63 (2014), pp. 6484-6491, ISSN: 1876-6102.

[6] Vatopoulos, K. & Tzimas, E.: Assessment of CO₂ capture technologies in cement manufacturing process, *Journal of cleaner production*, Vol. 32 (2012), pp. 251-261, ISSN: 0959-6526.

[7] Bosoaga, A.; Masek, O. & Oakey, J. E: CO₂ capture technologies for cement industry, *Energy Procedia*, Vol. 1, Iss.1 (2009), pp. 133-140, ISSN: 1876-6102.

[8] [10] Badea, A. & Dinca, C.: CO₂ capture from post-combustion gas by employing MEA absorption processexperimental investigations for pilot studies, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., series D*, Vol. 74 (2012), Iss.1, pp. 21-32, ISSN 1454-2358.

[9] Udara, S. P. R.: Waste heat utilization for CO₂ capture in the cement industry, International Journal of Modeling and Optimization, Vol. 4 (2014), pp. 438-442, ISSN: 2010-3697.

[10] Dinca, C.: Critical parametric study of circulating fluidized bed combustion with CO₂ chemical absorption process using different aqueous alkanolamines, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 112 (2016), Part 1, pp. 1136-1149, ISSN: 0959-6526.

[11] Barker, D. J et al.: CO₂ capture in the cement industry, *Energy procedia*, Vol. 1, Iss. 1 (2009), pp. 87-94, ISSN: 1876-6102.

[12] Udara, S. P. R. et al.: Model Development for CO₂ capture in the cement industry, *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, Vol. 3 (2013), pp. 535-540, ISSN: 2010-3697.

[13] Dinca, C. et al: Absorber design for the improvement of the efficiency of post-combustion CO₂ capture, *Journal of the Energy Institute*, Vol. 88 (2015), Iss. 3, pp. 304-313, ISSN: 1743-9671.

[14] Dinca, C.; Slavu, N. & Badea, A.: Benchmarking of the pre/post-combustion chemical absorption for the CO₂ capture, *Journal of the Energy Institute* (2017), *Available from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2017.01.008*, *Accessed:* 2017-06-2.

[15] Pascu, A. et al.: The package type influence on the performance of the CO₂ capture process by chemical absorption, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., series C*, Vol 78 (2016), Iss. 1, pp. 259-270, 2016, ISSN 2286-3540.

Corresponding author:

Cristian Dinca, Ph.D., Associate Prof, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Energy Generation and Use Department Splaiul Independentei 313, 060042 Bucharest, Romania E-mail: crisflor75@yahoo.com